

# The Christian Guardian

## AND EVANGELICAL WITNESS.

PUBLISHED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE METHODIST CHURCH OF CANADA.

VOLUME XLVIII. NO. 17.

TORONTO, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 25, 1877.

WHOLE NO. 2477.

### Literary and Religious.

#### A Sunday in Rome.

An English Methodist, travelling on the continent, has been writing a series of interesting letters to his children, which have been published in the *Watchman*. From one of these, dated at Rome, March 30th, we take the following:-

A considerable portion of Saturday morning was spent in the Lateran Museum. There are great treasures collected here, specially of ancient sculptures. But the classified series of old inscriptions taken from the Catacombs exceed in interest almost everything we have seen on our journey. This past became a real and visible thing before our eyes; a testimony to "the things" long "most assuredly believed" by us; an irresistible appeal both to our imaginations and our hearts. The volume is too large to be read in an hour or two; but we dipped here and there into its pages, and caught everywhere some fresh and affecting impression. A clergyman from Shropshire, whom I have known from his intensely Methodist boyhood, joined us in the gallery, and helped us to spell out the inscriptions. He had been to see the Pope, and had asked and got his blessing, on the grounds that it could do no harm (quoting an old saying), and that, after all, the Pope was an old bishop. This good man, once a very pronounced Evangelical, is one of the class of clergymen who, to the measure of their capacity, are doing even more harm than the professing advanced Ritualists. They will not testify against Ritualism, nor, therefore, very strongly against Romanism. The clock of "the Church" is thrown decently over the good and the bad alike. If they do not take care they will soon want it for a pall. I soon discovered that my friend was under the influence of his wife, very hot in contending for the claims of "God's priests," very sympathetic with Arthur Tooth, and a sort of parochial Mrs. Proudy. I must add that I did not feel quite sure about the genuineness of all the inscriptions we saw. When unscrupulous men begin to classify and generalise, they are apt to manufacture details; and there is hardly a corner of a street in Rome where you are not told some manifestly lying legend.

From the Lateran, we went to the catacombs of St. Callixtus, not with any hope of thoroughly exploring them, but to see what they were like, and some of the places of sepulture whence the inscriptions had been taken. We soon satisfied this object, and brought away with us impressions we shall never lose. We now know how reverently the first Christians buried their dead; how carefully they preserved their sacred remains; with what tenderness they mourned their memories; with what hope they waited for reunion with them. All these ideas follow closely, and by a Christian law, one after the other. Burn those, if you presume to mark them, as to whom it had been better that they had never been born.

On our return to the city we visited the Mamertine Prisons, where it is alleged, but emphatically contradicted, that St. Paul and St. Peter were confined together, previously to martyrdom, and where is shown the miraculous spring, with the water of which St. Peter baptized the keepers of the prison, themselves subsequently martyred. We neither believed nor disbelieved the former part of the legend; for at all events we got a probable idea of the kind of dungeons in which apostles and other saints were undoubtedly immured. By the way, I have got a notion that the original framers, and present supporters, of this vast system of Papal encroachment harbor some suspicion about St. Peter's episcopacy at Rome, and therefore about the primacy of its successive bishops. I seem to detect everywhere an effort to make up for any discovery fatal to the primacy of St. Peter, by dragging in the pretensions of St. Paul. It is clear the latter was here; and, if nobody else was bishop, which, in an exclusive sense of the word, may be doubtful, probably he was. The vast treasures recently expended on the restoration of the church which bears his name, intended, but in vain, to rival St. Peter's itself, are but one of many things which have led me into this train of thinking.

On the way to the Mamertine Prisons, I had almost forgot to say, we called at two churches; one said to have been erected on the spot where our Lord met St. Peter, as the latter was on his way to Rome, and, in answer to the question where he was going, was told by the apostle that he was going to be martyred; and the other containing the ashm print of our Lord's feet on the very spot of marble where the meeting took place.

Sunday was a very busy day, such as we should not spend at home, but full of interest, instruction and profit. We began, of course, with St. Peter's, for it was Palm Sunday, and the absurd ceremonial of the Blessing of the Palms was to be performed. After a boisterous celebration of the Mass some two hundred long constructions of straw, which no imagination could conjure into the likeness of palm-branches, and some which had lain in a heap beside the altar as though ready for pitching into a straw-heap, were made, one by one, to touch the pyre, and were then distributed amongst the crowd of vested priests and attendants, who crowded

the choir chapel. Then, with candles and banners preceding them, the whole party of them processed down the nave into the portico of the church, and the door was shut. Then commenced a kind of Litany inside the church at another door, joined in by those outside. In time this latter door was opened, and the procession returned up the nave. It looked very like a turn-out of Dunstable bonnet-makers. Then eager crowds, some with straw, some with branches of real trees, thronged round the priests, to get them touched by those previously blessed. Every house in Rome nearly had one of them in possession. It was high time for us to worship God in spirit and in truth, and we do so, I trust, at the Presbyterian church, and heard a blessed sermon from Mr. Sloane, of Aberdeen. Then we went home and lunched and rested. In the afternoon we had a quiet service at the Evangelical English Church, spoiled, however, at its close by one of those sham-philosophical, sham-oratorical sermons which seem to take in the United States, whence the preacher hailed. Then came a walk in the English Cemetery, where we saw the tombs of Augustus Hare, and of Keats, who died of a review in the *Quarterly*, and died grumbling; and the place where the heart of Shelley, now removed to England, was once deposited. Think of Shelley's heart, of his abandoned wife, who drowned herself, and of his own retributive death by drowning. And yet we worship men like these, because of their genius, forsooth. In the evening the Father heard Gavazzi discoursing to some four hundred eagerly-listening Italians, and was told that he was really preaching Christ; and whatever he aims at he does effectually. We finished up with a late tea with Mrs. Piggott.

In the evening, we went with Mrs. Piggott to see her girls' night-schools near the Vatican. You should have seen how well they wrote; and heard how, with a womanly facility and strength, the able-minded and energetic lady I have just named, lectured and catechised them on the great subjects of the Passion-week. It was again late before we got home; and I begin to feel that this over-night work must be stopped. But it is Rome.

On Thursday we did little but walk, and make a call, and peep into churches. The priests consecrated two wafers on Wednesday, ate one, and put the other into what they call a sepulchre. To-day, they will exhume it, display it for special worship, and then eat it too. Ah me! In the evening we went to Signor Capellini's meeting, and took the Lord's Supper with more than a hundred Italian common soldiers. He himself was a soldier; came to the knowledge of the truth and of the Saviour, and started work among his comrades. And this is already the result. A more devout and interested congregation I never saw; Capellini rules them like a little army. It was a grand sight to see his two soldier-deacons hand round the elements, which Mr. Piggott, after a short, lively sermon, had dispensed to them. Before the Benediction a Bible was given to every communicant for the first time. This beautiful and promising work is now to be conducted under Mr. Piggott's superintendence, and is regularly incorporated with our Italian work.

#### Ujiji.

Ujiji, or Kawahe, as Cameron usually styles it, is on the eastern shore of Lake Tanganyika, about a quarter of the distance from the northern end of the lake. It is very nearly in latitude 6° S., longitude 30° E., about 600 miles a little north of west from Zanzibar, and about 900 miles from the west coast. The Miteno, or head chief of the country of Ujiji, lives in a village at some distance from the lake; but every district is ruled over by a Mutwale, who is usually assisted by three or four Wateko, or elders. The natives are fine-looking, good smiths and porters, and expert fishermen, but their reputation for honesty and sobriety is more than dubious. Their dress is usually a single piece of bark cloth, two corners of which are tied in a knot over one shoulder and passing under the opposite arm. The chiefs usually wear colored cloths, bought from the traders, instead of bark cloth, but worn in the same manner. There are a number of Arab traders settled here, of whom three must be mentioned as having subsequently exercised a considerable influence over the fortunes of Cameron and his party. These were Mohammed ibn Salih, "a fine portly old half-caste Arab," who had not been east of Ujiji since 1842, and although he held no official authority from the Sultan of Zanzibar, was looked upon by the traders as their head; Syde Mezuni, also a half-caste, and a kind of "speculator," a great braggart, and, as afterward proved, a great rascal; and Muinyi Hassan, a slave-trader.

Cameron was assured that it would be impossible to travel west of the lake for at least three months, until the rainy season was over. About the only thing that could be done during the period of waiting was to make a voyage around the lake. Stanley and Livingstone had sailed around the northern part, above Ujiji, but the southern and much larger portion was unknown to Europeans, although, as we now know from his *Last Journals*, Livingstone had made almost the entire circuit of its shores. The first difficulty was to procure a boat. The only one large enough for the purpose belonged to Syde ibn Habib, and this was

hired at an exorbitant price, and after much difficulty in contriving the mode of payment. Syde wanted ivory, but Cameron had none. Ibn Salih had ivory, but would sell it only for cloth, of which Cameron was destitute; but Ibn Gharib had cloth, and wanted wine, which Cameron had. So the wine was sold for the cloth, the cloth for the ivory, and the ivory paid over for the boat.

The principal sight at Ujiji is the market, held every morning and afternoon in an open space near the shore. It is attended by all the tribes bordering on the lake, who bring flour, corn, sweet-potatoes, yams, bananas, tobacco, and so forth. The currency of trade here is *shells*, a kind of beads looking like broken pieces of pipe-stems, all prices being estimated in this; but they are not actually current as money.—*Harper's Magazine for May.*

#### The Pulpit and the Pew.

The *Times* observes that the controversy on Pew and Pulpit which has been going on at the City Temple has drawn down to the arena a great and unexpected disputant. Mr. Gladstone, as though Turkish atrocities and the tomb of Agamemnon were not enough to satisfy his eager intellect, has been availing himself of his lay liberty to give a lecture on preaching to Dr. Parker and the Congregationalists. With the generous instinct which always leads him to lend support to the oppressed and the weaker side, he throws the full weight of his sword into the scale on behalf of the Pulpit. It is the business of the Pulpit, he tells us, to preach, and to preach well, if possible. It is the business of the Pew to listen attentively, and when the sermon contains no lesson properly speaking, even there to draw from it a lesson of patience. It is clear, as Mr. Gladstone urges, that preaching is essentially a part of the much wider subject of oratory. Every great preacher must, in fact, be a great orator, though the converse by no means necessarily holds true. The object of any but the most perfunctory preacher must always be to drive something home to his audience, to produce in them a conviction of some kind or another. This conviction may be simply one as to the cleverness or eloquence of the preacher himself. Unworthy as this object is in any speaker, and specially out of place and mischievous as it is in a sermon, yet it may be produced by a sermon just as readily as by any method; and if the trick is well performed it is just as likely to escape detection there as elsewhere. The best preaching, however, is as far as possible removed from this. Not only does the best preacher forget himself, but he makes his audience forget him, too. The word spoken is everything to them. They are too fixed on that to have a thought to spare for the instrument by which it reaches them. There is room, and indeed need, for the broadest and fullest preparation and the most careful artistic treatment, but there must be no troublesome sense of the presence of these adjuncts. They must not overlay the discourse, and, as far as can be, neither preacher nor hearer should be aware of them. The hearer, who has had no special training as a judge of eloquence, will go home filled with the subject, delighted or awed or convinced. He will carry the flavor of the discourse with him, and will mark his sense of its exquisiteness by dwelling on its memory, and by returning again and again to the rich treat. Yet probably the very last thought that would occur to him, the very last expression that would rise to his lips would be, What a splendid preacher Mr. So and So is! As for the way in which this supreme result is to be brought about, the art of it is simply the art of oratory, and as far as this can be taught it was to be learnt at length, quite apart from Mr. Gladstone's speech, from excellent ancient and modern text-books. But beyond all that teaching "can give there must be the inborn nature that makes one man an orator, and the absence of which denies the faculty to another with whatever eagerness he may strive after it. The highest productions of art must in every department be the combined work of genius and of love. If men have their work, or if they have no special aptitude for it, they will never do it in the best style. It is not often in this country that the highest powers are cultivated with the utmost possible care and zeal for the sole purpose of producing a good sermon. The highest powers are apt to be drawn off into professions which have higher rewards to offer than that of the preacher, while if, by any chance, a bigger fish than usual does happen to slip into the ecclesiastical net, he finds himself under no compulsion to take the same amount of trouble which any other position in life would entail. The natural laziness of mankind, added to the want of anything like appreciative external criticism, will thus account for the mediocrity of most modern preaching. In political life, at the bar, in literature, supreme efforts are made to succeed. Every faculty of the mind is strained to the uttermost; every opportunity is turned eagerly to account. No preparatory trouble is thought too great; no chance that offends itself is thought too slight or unpromising. The pulpit has certainly less way to make up if it is to keep neck and neck with its rivals. There may be exceptions here and there, but they serve only to bring the rule into more distinct prominence, and the rule is that the art of sermon writing is not thought deserving of any very special attention, and that the practice, as might be expected, suffers

accordingly. Not a determination to take trouble, not even a capacity for taking trouble, but an infinite capacity, is what we need. The labor that will be always acquiring and always digesting fresh matter must itself be supplemented by the ready invention that will suggest a thousand ways of treatment by the quick eye that will at once single out the best, and by the ready pen or ready tongue that will work up to the half-revealed model and clothe it in the most perfect charm of language.

#### News from Jupiter.

When one of Jupiter's moons passes behind the body of the planet, the moon does not necessarily enter the planet's shadow. It only does so when the sun, the earth and Jupiter are nearly in a straight line; when the earth is considerably removed from the line adjoining Jupiter and the sun, a satellite passing behind the planet's outline on one side remains in sunlight for a considerable time. It probably has not occurred to any observer to try to see a satellite when thus in sunlight behind the planet.

On the old theory, of course, it would have been absurd to look for a satellite under such conditions, when there would be several thousand miles of the planet's solid substance in the way. But of course, if the planet has an atmosphere thousands of miles deep, laden more or less heavily with cloud-masses, it might quite readily happen that a satellite should be seen apparently through the middle of the planet, but through parts lying thousands of miles within the apparent outline.

This is what has now actually happened. We should not quote the observation, if it were not, in the first place, one which will probably be repeated (now that it has once been made), and if it had not, in the second place, been accepted by astronomers. It is thus recorded by the council of the Astronomical Society: "A very interesting phenomenon was observed more than once independently by Mr. Todd, of Adelaide, using a new eight-inch telescope by Cooke, and his assistant, Mr. Ringwood, when a satellite was on the point of being hidden. Instead of disappearing gradually behind the planet, it was apparently projected on the disc, as if viewed through the edge of the planet, supposing the latter were surrounded by a transparent atmosphere laden with clouds. This curious phenomenon was noticed on two occasions at the disappearance of the first satellite, when it was thus distinctly visible through the edge of the disc for about two minutes before it was finally concealed."

It must therefore have been seen where the line of sight passed fully two thousand miles below the apparent outline of the planet, or along a range of fully twelve thousand miles of cloud-laden air. It may safely be inferred from this observation that the planet has an atmosphere extending six or seven, probably ten or twelve, thousand miles below the apparent outline, so that a globe as large as our earth lying on the surface of Jupiter might not reach, or only barely reach, his outermost cloud layers.—*London Spectator.*

#### Wordsworth at Home.

When I came into the lake district I was told that the average of utter strangers who visited Rydal Mount in the season was five hundred! Their visits were not the only penalty inflicted. Some of these gentlemen, occasionally sent letters to the newspapers, containing their opinions of the old man's state of health or of intellect; and then, if a particularly intrusive lion-hunter got a surly reception, and wrote to a newspaper that Wordsworth's intellects were falling, there came letters of inquiry from all the family friends and acquaintances, whose affectionate solicitudes had to be satisfied. For my part, I refused from the first to introduce any of my visitors at Rydal Mount, because there were far too many already. Mr. Wordsworth repeatedly acknowledged my scrupulous abstinence; but in time I found that she rather wished that I would bear my share in what had become a kind of resource to her husband. I never liked seeing him go the round of his garden and terraces, relating to persons whose very names he had not attended to, particulars about his writing and other affairs which each stranger flattered himself was a confidential communication to himself. One anecdote will show how the process went forward, and how persons fared who deserved something better than this invariable treatment. In the first of autumn of my residence, while I was in lodgings, Mr. Seymour Tremeneere and his comrade in his Educational Commissionership, Mr. Tufnell, asked me to obtain lodgings for them, as they wished to repose from their labors beside Windermere. When they came, I told them that I could not take them to Rydal Mount. They acquiesced, though much wishing to obtain some testimony from the old poet on behalf of popular education. In a week or two, however, I had to call on Mr. Wordsworth, and I invited the gentlemen to take their chance by going with me. We met Mr. and Mrs. Wordsworth just coming out of their door into the garden. I twice distinctly named both gentlemen, but I saw that he did not attend, and that he received them precisely after his usual manner with strangers. He marched them off to his terraces; and Mrs. Wordsworth and I sat down on a garden seat. I told her the state of the case; and she said she would take care at, when they returned,

Mr. Wordsworth should understand who his guests were. This was more easily promised than done, however. When they appeared, Mr. Wordsworth uncovered his grey head as usual, wished the gentlemen improved health and much enjoyment of the lake scenery, and bowed us out. My friends told me (what I could have told them) that Mr. Wordsworth had related many interesting things about his poems, but that they doubted whether he had any idea who they were; and they had no opportunity of introducing the subject of popular education. That evening, when a party of friends and I were at tea, an urgent message came through three families, from Rydal Mount, to the effect that Mr. Wordsworth understood that Mr. Seymour Tremeneere was in the neighborhood; and that he was anxious to obtain an interview with Mr. Tremeneere for conversation about popular education. Mr. Tremeneere called at the Mount the next day. He told me on his return that he had, he hoped, gained his point. He hoped for a sonnet at least. He observed, "Mr. Wordsworth discoursed to me about education, trying to impress upon me whatever I have most insisted on in my reports for seven years past; but I do not expect him to read reports, and I was very happy to hear what he had to say." The next time I fell in with Mr. Wordsworth, he said, "I have to thank you for procuring for me a call from that intelligent gentleman, Mr. Tremeneere. I was glad to have some conversation with him. To be sure, he was bent on enlightening me on principles of popular education which have been published in my poems these forty years; but that is of little consequence. I am very happy to have seen him."—*Miss Martineau's Autobiography.*

#### Florence.

The early history of Florence is involved in doubt and obscurity. According to some authorities, the city was founded by the Romans; according to others, by the Etruscans. The most probable conjecture is that it owes its origin to a colony from Fiesole, whose ancient ruins are still visible on the neighboring heights.

What vicissitudes has she not experienced! What experiments in government has she not tried! Foreign invasion followed by internal strife and dissension—Frank, Lombard, and Ostrogoth, Guelph and Ghibelline, Bianchi and Neri, White Lily and Red. Now a fief of the German Emperor, now an appanage of the Pope; then a dependency of the King of Naples, or a province of the Austrian Kaiser; duchy, grand duchy, republic; at one time aristocratic, then democratic, afterward theocratic, while running through all the political phases of civil liberty, republican license, anarchy, and chaos; then ending in military despotism, until at length she has found repose beneath the standard of a constitutional monarchy.

And yet with her dukes, grand dukes, consuls, priors, gonfalonieri, and the rest, as if human experience did not furnish a sufficiently wide range for her political experiments, she elects the Marzocco, a brazen lion, as gonfalonier; and then, by a strange freak of religious fanaticism, casts her ballots for Jesus Christ as king, who, having been declared duly elected, was strangely enough deposed by his viceroy—a Pope.

It is scarcely possible to photograph with pen and ink the physiognomy of a great city, or if you could, it would only be a photograph after all, lacking warmth of color and depth of tone. We must content ourselves with a silhouette. Let us take a brief survey of Florence from the heights of San Miniato or the Boboli Gardens. It is like looking at a person in profile. You get a clearer outline of the more prominent features, though it may be at the expense of the minutest details of form and expression.

The city lies mapped out before us in the form of an irregular polygon unequally divided by the Arno, which, with its broad and handsome quays and its numerous bridges with their graceful arches, constitutes one of the most striking features in the landscape. To the extreme right, conspicuous with its lofty tower and ornate facade of variegated marbles, is the dark, gloomy mass of Santa-Croce, the "Pantheon" of Florence. Beyond are the funeral cypresses of the Protestant cemetery, where sleep the remains of Mrs. Browning and Theodore Parker. Farther on are the heights of Fiesole, the ancient rival of Florence, with its Franciscan convent and hoary crown of Cyclopean walls. Between Fiesole and Careggi, once the seat of Lorenzo's Magnificence, the Platonic Academy, is the famous Villa Palmieri, where Boccaccio, the father of Italian prose, laid the scene of his "Decameron," which inspired the "Canterbury Tales" of our own Chaucer, the father of English poetry.

Directly in front of us is the enormous rectangular mass of the Palazzo Vecchio, with its mediæval tower and frowning battlements. To the right the tapering spire of the Badia shoots heavenward. Beyond, looming up in imposing grandeur, is the wondrous dome of Brunelleschi, flanked by the marvellous Campanile of Giotto, solid as a fortress, and yet light and ethereal as an air castle. Immediately to the left of the Cathedral you can just see the octagonal dome of the Baptistery, the "bat San Giovanni" of Dante, whose magnificent bronze doors transported Michael Angelo to the seventh

heaven of artistic enthusiasm. Beyond the Baptistery, San Lorenzo, the Westminster Abbey of Florence, proudly lifts its crest, as if in ambitious rivalry of the Duomo, while farther to the left Santa Maria Novella, with its graceful campanile, beautiful facade, and spacious cloisters, rejoices as the mystic bride of the great Buonarroti.

Crossing the Arno and sweeping westward, the eye is arrested for a moment by the dome of Santo Spirito, and then finds repose upon the beautiful heights of Belvedere. Nearer by is the Pitti Palace, with its famous gallery. From this you can trace the covered corridor that connects it with the Uffizi, uniting the two like Siamese Twins of art, as they are, and constructed, it is said, upon the model of that which, according to Homer, once connected the palaces of Hector and Priam. As for the roof, Florence resembles most Italian cities viewed from an eminence—a heterogeneous jumble of red-tiled roofs, chimney-tops, dormer-windows, sky-lights, terraces, bellfries, crosses, and flag-staffs, without the faintest hint of the crowded squares and thoroughfares below.—*O. M. Spencer, in Harper's Magazine for May.*

#### The Valdais Church.

The Waldensian or Valdais Church is making rapid progress throughout Italy. A writer in *Evangelical Christendom* gives a cheerful picture of its present prosperity. Cases of discipline are few; the number of meetings and of their frequenters is increasing; new societies and meetings have been started, in order to awaken everywhere more interest in the work of the Church as regards education, benevolence, evangelization, and missions. Their charitable and educational institutions are flourishing. The manner in which the eleven of the Gospel is working in the community is indicated by the following account of the upspringing of a church in the secluded Alpine village of Coazze. The story is told by an evangelical laborer in a neighboring field. One market day, at Pignerol, a young rustic from Coazze bought from a colporteur a cheap copy of the New Testament. He became deeply interested in its perusal, and before long was powerfully convicted by the Spirit of God. He at once left his home and moved to the city, so as to be within hearing of the preaching of the Word. Soon he was a rejoicing believer. He then returned to Coazze, and made his livelihood by peddling, among other things Bibles and tracts. The curiosity and conscience of his old neighbors were aroused by listening to his experience, as well as by reading the books he distributed, and they soon expressed a desire to hear the Gospel expounded by an authorized evangelist. On a bitter day in January, 1874, Signor Cardon arrived in the village late in the afternoon, after a long journey on foot over the mountains. Permission to use the public hall was promptly given him, and a congregation of more than seven hundred assembled to hear a pointed sermon founded on the words, "What must I do to be saved?" The evangelist met, of course, with some opposition from the priestly party, but the reception, on the whole, was favorable. A noble little society was formed, a fine chapel has since been erected, and now the blessed influence is spreading to all the country around. In the city of Rome the efforts of Protestant missionaries have not been so successful as in less important centres, probably because of its occupancy by so many denominations at once, presenting to the Papists the appearance of a divided front, and, also, making the enforcement of discipline in each society very difficult.—*National Repository for May.*

#### An Episode in Modern Church History.

Few readers of the present day can conceive of the scandalous manner in which the patronage of the Church of England was formally dispensed. It is not now disposed of in the most immaculate manner, but some reformation has taken place. The story, however, of Archbishop Harcourt is very suggestive. He had a son named Egbert Harcourt, Esq., to whom he presented the lucrative position of the Registrarship of York, which was at that time worth ten thousand pounds a year. He had another son, a layman, whom he made Chancellor of the Diocese, and to whom he also gave several other offices of distinction. A third son was the Rev. L. V. Harcourt, and he was instituted Chancellor of the Cathedral of York, which was worth £2,000 per annum, and his father added to it a Prebend's stall, which was worth about £1,200 more. When the Rectory of Rothbury became vacant, the liberal prelate bestowed it upon his son, the Rev. G. V. Harcourt, M.A., and he thus became entitled to £1,200 per year, and as he could not live upon that income his generous father made him a Canon of Carlisle Cathedral, which enriched the young man with another £1,200 a year. In 1837, the Rectory of Bolton Abbey became vacant, and the kind parent bestowed it upon his beloved son, the Rev. V. V. Harcourt, who thus got £1,540 a year. As this was not enough for a Harcourt, his good and pious father gave him the living of Rubby, with no duty, which increased his income by £359 annually. But even this was not wealth enough for a Harcourt, and therefore the Archbishop bestowed upon him a Canonry of York, and this added £1,000 a year more to his income. A grandson and nine other relatives were also well provided for by this famous prelate out of the wealth of the Church. Such was Church patronage only a few years ago, and if we may judge from some very recent complaints in Church of England journals, it is not much better now. The sharp knife of disestablishment will probably be found necessary to extirpate such examples of ecclesiastical cancer.











Agents and others remitting money for the Guardian, will please bear in mind that, in addition to the name of the person, we require the name of the Post Office, and in case of change, the name of the Office from which the change is to be made.

All letters containing payment for the Christian Guardian, S. S. Advocate, S. S. Banner, or for Books, together with all orders for the same, should be addressed to the Book-Steward, Rev. R. ROSE.

All Communications intended for insertion in the Guardian should be addressed to the Rev. T. H. DEWART: and when enclosed in business letters to the Book Room should invariably be written on separate pieces of paper.

## Christian Guardian AND EVANGELICAL WITNESS.

TORONTO, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 25, 1877.

### "METHODISM AND CATHOLICITY."

This is the title of a work just published by the Rev. James Roy, of Montreal, remarkable alike for its advanced views in opposition to popular orthodoxy and for the frankness and ability with which they are presented. There are two reasons why we shall not attempt any complete analysis of the work, or indicate in detail what we approve or condemn. First, because it would be impossible in the compass of an ordinary article to state how far we agree with, and wherein we differ from the views advanced, on the many important questions raised in these pages. Secondly, this essay has been evidently written to state the author's views, in order to ascertain whether such views are compatible with the legal standards of doctrine in the Methodist Church. This question we are neither authorized nor obligated to decide. We will therefore purposely avoid expressing any opinion upon the relation of Mr. Roy's views to Methodist theology—a point which it belongs to others to decide. We may, however, give a synopsis of the main points in Mr. Roy's essay; and state our views respecting some of the positions maintained, without appealing to any theological standard, and without assuming to speak for anyone but ourselves.

It is maintained that Methodism was originally broadly catholic, but through restrictive conceptions of God's love, narrow, restrictive rules, rigid uniformity of method, restrictions on the liberty of the preachers and other causes, it lost its primitive catholicity—that this catholicity may be regained by taking Wesley's later theological views rather than his earlier ones, and by adopting as our standard Wesley's principles and methods rather than his opinions—that as the orthodox forms of doctrine took their present shape long after the apostolic age, and were the work of fallible men not free from the errors of their times, they should not be imposed upon the churches, as if the human expression of these truths was essential—that orthodoxy cannot rest upon the authority of the Church, because those dogmas were merely the opinions of the men who formulated them, and never received the sanction of the universal Church—that orthodoxy cannot rest upon the Bible, because we depend upon the testimony of the Church as to what is Scripture and what is not, and because of the inaccuracies and contradictions of Scripture, and the disagreement about its meaning—that Christian consciousness is the true basis and test of Christianity and piety—that the opinions expressed in the Wesleyan standards are self-contradictory and cannot be held by any one person—that Wesley's principles and methods imply the repudiation of "sacerdotal" orthodoxy—that in order to bring itself into harmony with the method and spirit of this scientific age, and to secure future prosperity, Methodism must be reorganized on a basis affording greater liberty of thought and action to both ministers and laymen—and, if we understand him correctly, that all denominational creeds and peculiarities be so far renounced as to allow all Christians to form one liberal Christian organization to do the work of Christ in the world. These are some of the main points presented in a forcible and masterly essay, indicating familiarity with the currents of theological thought in the past and in the present. No one can fairly bring against this pamphlet the charge which the parliamentary opposition generally urges against "the speech from the throne"—that it is a meagre bill of fare. It suggests such radical and sweeping changes in all the orthodox Churches, as would, if adopted, constitute a theological and ecclesiastical revolution.

If we dissent from Mr. Roy's views on several points, and decline to accept his ideal of the Christian Church, it is not because we have any leaning towards "sacerdotal" theories of Christianity, or any want of sympathy with intellectual freedom. We have long been convinced that in matters of opinion John Wesley was much more liberal than the great majority of those who are called by his name—some of whom think that the adoption of a small portion of his views makes them truly Wesleyan. We agree with Dr. Stevens, that from the number and variety of the subjects treated in that portion of Mr. Wesley's writings which he constituted the standard of Methodist doctrine, "a rigorous system of interpretation has become impossible." Nor do we believe that it was John Wesley's intention to insist that his preachers and societies should be bound by every jot and tittle in these writings and believe and teach nothing else. This would be inconsistent with his own principles and practice; and would make it heresy to preach some of his own sermons in a Methodist pulpit. Neither do we hold that the theologians of the Church of some former century were "invested with divine authority to interpret the Scriptures, and frame inflexible and unalterable expressions of Christian doctrine, for all time. We believe the Church of to-day possesses as much authority to interpret the meaning of the Holy Scriptures as the Church of any former period. We are well aware that the creeds of the Church were generally the protest of the Church against the heresies that prevailed at the time they were framed; and that these formal, scientific ex-

pressions of the Christian doctrines, in nearly every case, took place long after the apostolic age; though we fail to see how this protracted discussion and slow elaboration of doctrine can weaken the claims of these doctrinal statements to belief. The more slowly and carefully these statements were wrought out, the more likely were they to correctly represent the Scriptural truths they were intended to express. We do not deny that human opinions have often been enforced as if they were divine and infallible. We believe the true policy of Churches is expressed in the maxim:—"In essentials unity, in non-essentials liberty, in all things charity." But although disposed to give Mr. Roy's pamphlet the most candid and liberal consideration, it contains several things which awaken serious and painful questionings.

As far as we can understand it, the main purpose of Mr. Roy's facts and reasonings is to show that there is no trustworthy authority on which we can rely with confidence for a correct presentation of doctrinal truths. Apparently this position is taken in order that he may exalt Christian consciousness as the supreme test of piety, and thus prepare the way, by the overthrow of orthodox creeds and definitions, for the formation of a grand liberal Church unshackled by the dogmas of the orthodox theology. According to his teaching, John Wesley is inconsistent with himself. The theologians which have voiced the decisions of the Church are fallible and discordant. Christian consciousness cannot declare what is orthodox or not. The Bible is no certain standard as to what is truth. What gives peculiar significance to this line of argument is that, if valid, it not merely overthrows orthodox doctrines which our author deems untrue, but also disproves the possibility of any trustworthy statements of doctrinal truths. Mr. Roy's objections necessarily apply to every attempt to claim acceptance and belief for any theological statements of truth. He labors to disparage all theological statements of religious truth, and to cover with doubt and distrust the foundations on which they rest.

When men are quoting facts to prove a theory, there is a strong tendency to a one-sided and partial presentation of them, however sincere they may be. But even if we should admit Mr. Roy's facts, we maintain they do not justify the sweeping conclusions he draws from them. Wesley's expositions may not always be in harmony with each other; but it does not follow that his writings do not contain a rich treasury of truths relating to the Christian life, which may fitly serve as a manual of experimental doctrines and a bond of union to his followers. The fact that most of the Christian doctrines were moulded into their present form at comparatively recent periods by fallible men, might be a good reason against admitting that these statements should be received with unquestioning faith as infallibly and unalterably above all criticism; but cannot be a good reason for abandoning and casting them aside as unauthorized sacerdotal inventions. There cannot be a definite belief of a truth without some definite expression of it in words. A definition supposes there is something to define. As Dr. Rainy says:—"There may be newness of expression, when the thing expressed is old. There may be newness of application, which only contributes unlooked-for fertility to an old principle, doing it no wrong—doing only right to it." And there may be a new development, which only draws from the divine revelation unexpected elucidations and confirmations, adding light harmony and fulness to what was received before." The slight inaccuracies and apparent contradictions of our English Bible do not invalidate its claims as an authoritative revelation of essential spiritual truths, such as can fitly be to the Christian world a standard and basis of belief. If all these standards of truth are disparaged and thrown aside as unworthy of confidence, there can be no obligation to believe any doctrine whatever.

We think the credulous conglomeration of discordant elements which constitutes Mr. Roy's ideal Church of the future is as undesirable as it is impracticable. His ideal of the Church is substantially the same as the "Multitudinist Church," without doctrinal limitations, for which the Rev. H. B. Wilson pleads in his essay on "The National Church" in the well-known "Essays and Reviews." The illustrations we have seen of that ideal have not impressed us favorably. In the English Church the spectacle of three discordant and antagonistic parties has never seemed an attractive feature, and certainly has not increased the strength and efficiency of that Church. The ideal of Mr. Roy has been tested practically—tried and found wanting. The Unitarians or "Liberal Christians," answer in nearly every particular to Mr. Roy's ideal Church. They are not trammelled by creeds. They have not been cramped by the orthodox conceptions of the Atonement or the Trinity. They have sufficiently magnified the claims of human reason and intuition. They have amply repudiated the element of mystery in doctrine, and all external authority in matters of belief. They have maintained no sharply-defined experience inconsistent with the largest "catholicity." Yet what is the evidence of "facts" for which Mr. Roy expresses so much regard—with respect to the efficiency of "Liberal Christianity" as a spiritual force in the world? Has its teaching taken any strong hold upon the conscience and spiritual nature of men? Has it practically evinced its adaptation to satisfy the soul-hunger of suffering, guilty humanity? Has it been successful in lifting the masses of sinful, ignorant men up into the joy of sin forgiven? Can it point to tribes, redeemed by its agency from barbarism and idolatry, as the seals of its Churchship? No: it has indeed produced some men of high culture and character. But, whatever it may have done as a "Mental Improvement Society,"—as an agency in bringing men from sin to holiness—"And from the power of Satan unto God," it has been a sad failure. Some of its greatest and best men have frankly acknowledged this. And it is well known that its Parkers, Abbotts and Frothinghams have drifted into open hostility to the great truths of the Christian religion. Yet, it cannot be successfully denied that Unitarianism fulfills most nearly the conditions

which Mr. Roy deems necessary to the reformation, and future success of Methodism. True, he intimates that this ideal Church must have not only liberty and culture, but also "a historical reputation for spiritual life." But they must have read to little profit the lessons of history, who can believe that Methodism might renounce the explicit statement of doctrinal truth, that has been one of the chief elements of its power to quicken the consciences and move the hearts of sinners, without losing its spiritual power. Let Methodism renounce those definite, pointed appeals relating to personal, religious experience which are the practical corollaries of "orthodox" gospel doctrines, and her "reputation for spiritual life" will soon be merely "historical," in the sense of belonging only to those times of departed renown when she clearly grasped and definitely taught these great doctrinal verities. Whatever may be the plausible theories of modern indifferentism, or whatever may be the just modifications demanded by the Christian intelligence of the times in the creeds of the Churches, it is an unquestionable fact that the men and the Churches which have most effectively stirred the sluggish pulses of men dead in trespasses and sins, and lifted humanity up nearer to God, have not been the worshippers of a sentimental catholicity; but those who grasped with an unflinching faith the truths presented in the "orthodox" forms of doctrine which Mr. Roy regards as mere "scholastic speculations."

"Loyalty to facts" demands a candid acknowledgment of this suggestive truth, whether it may harmonize with our theories or not. We need scarcely say that this pamphlet contains many noble and just sentiments; but it contains also several statements of views and principles that, in our judgment, would be nearer the truth had they been stated in a more guarded and modified manner. We can find room for only two or three examples of what we mean. Mr. Roy says, "Common work demands a common organization." But there are many examples of independent organizations working successfully for the accomplishment of a common work. Speaking of the Trinity, Incarnation, Atonement and Retribution, he says, "The question is being forced upon Christianity, is any given explanation of these thoughts necessary to catholicity?" Is not this unwarrantably making the relation of doctrinal truths to an ideal "catholicity" the standard of their value and importance? Though we fully admit that Wesley was not much fettered by creeds, and claimed for himself large liberty of thought, we think Mr. Roy's conclusion quite too sweeping, when he says, "Wesley's abandonment of the 'Arian' creed is the abandonment of the whole 'orthodoxy' of which that creed is the highest exponent." We may say also, notwithstanding Wesley's use of the popular language of substitution quoted by Mr. Roy, we think his views on the universality of the Atonement, his approval of Fletcher's anti-Calvinist "Checks," and the whole tenor of his preaching, render it certain he did not believe, or teach, the Calvinistic theory that the Atonement secured the salvation of all for whom it was made. It is surprising that Mr. Roy should write as if the element of mystery in doctrine was a mere creation of theologians, that has no real existence! He should not forget the truth of Sir William Hamilton's declaration that the difficulties which are felt in theology have previously emerged in philosophy.

It is not an unkindly or illiberal spirit that we have dwelt chiefly on points, in Dr. Roy's ably-written book, from which we dissent. Our esteem and affection for the author would make it more pleasant for us to have noted only what we approved. But, in view of the importance of the subjects discussed, we felt bound to express our dissent with the same frankness that distinguishes the work we have been criticising.

### AMERICAN DEGREES.

There is a good deal of nonsense spoken and written in England about American University degrees. One would think that the sale of degrees was a regular business over here, and that no English minister of respectable standing could pass through the United States without having a doctorate forced upon him. There are great mistakes on this matter. No doubt some American colleges have been rather indiscriminate in the bestowment of their favors. But all the respectable colleges are chary of these distinctions. Many of these "American colleges," which are supposed to be showering degrees all around them, go on for years without conferring a single honorary degree. Of course, in America, it must be admitted that honorary degrees are conferred for reasons other than high scholarship more frequently than in Britain. In America the degree of D. D. is often given as a recognition of the high position a minister has won as a preacher or writer on theological subjects. In England, it is also often given as a compliment to official position, as when a clergyman is made a bishop; but more generally to graduates who have been in orders for a number of years, though not always persons who have won any special distinction. In the University of Cambridge there is a regular curriculum for D. D., which may be taken in course like any other degree. Perhaps, on the whole, there is as much justification for worth in the American system as in the English. If it can be said that men get honorary degrees in America who would never have got them if they had to pass an examination in the prescribed course of study, it may with equal truth be said that many a man gets a degree in England, by cramming and coaching who never would have run any risk of attracting the attention of any college as being a person worthy of an honorary degree. We were pleased to see recently a letter in the *Methodist*, from our friend the Rev. T. Bowman Stephenson, B.A., a graduate of London University, replying to this unfounded disparagement of American degrees. He says, "The only universities in England that can bestow a Divinity degree are those connected with the Church of England or those in Scotland. The traditions of the old universities of England are certainly not favorable to the degrading of Nonconformists; and the traditions of the Scotch universities are not favorable to the

decorating of Arminians. In fact, there are no universities in this country in which a Methodist minister is not at a disadvantage, in reference to an honorary doctorate, as compared with an Episcopalian or a Calvinist. On the other hand, the American universities have a greater respect for Methodism, and are much more ready to do justice to Methodist ministers. I do not think they have done more than justice." He vindicates the character of "American universities"; and thinks that in most cases the degrees conferred by them on Englishmen have been well deserved. It would be easy to name ministers in England of undoubted scholarship, and who have enriched theological literature with valuable contributions, who have not the slightest chance of obtaining any collegiate recognition; because they have not stood in the right relations to the Churches that control the universities which have power to confer these distinctions. If the American colleges are more than just, the English colleges are less than just. The readiness of American colleges to give degrees has been greatly exaggerated. If we were a D. D. we dare not write thus.

### SOMETHING FOR FARMERS.

We feel a great interest in the prosperity of farmers. They are the foundation stones in the political fabric. A great number of our readers are farmers. Our boyish days were spent on a farm; and we feel a special interest in the mental culture and religious progress of the people of the rural districts. Farmers have many advantages; but they have one disadvantage: they are comparatively isolated and lonely. This separation is not so favorable to pleasures or culture as more frequent intercourse with society. The city man, whether he has much or little brains, is kept bright and sharp by constant contact with his fellow-men. It is a bad day that he has not learned something from somebody. But the farmer and his family are in danger of becoming stolid, for want of more frequent exchange of thought with their neighbors. Now, it cannot fail to interest our farming readers to know that some wise heads have been suggesting a remedy for this social want. The April number of *Scriven's Monthly* contained a paper by the well-known agricultural author, Colonel George B. Waring, on the interesting subject of "Farm Villages." The writer has been active in urging the adoption of improvements in American farming for many years, and has had good opportunities for studying the needs of American agriculture. Familiarity with the life and work of European farmers, where it is usual for those who cultivate the soil to live in villages, suggested to him the idea that the condition of the American agricultural position could be much ameliorated by a similar concentration into communities where the material and moral benefits of better social relations could be secured. Colonel Waring considers the way in which land may be divided so as to secure the end in view with the least inconvenience to the men who have to till the fields, and with the least drawback to the business of the farmer. Two cases are considered:—(1.) When it is a question of the settlement of new lands. (2.) Where farm-houses are already scattered over the country, each on its own farm. In both cases it is attempted to show how the details of the plan may be regulated so as to cause the least annoyance and loss of time to the men, to compass the greatest convenience and comfort of the women, and to provide the best advantages for the younger members of the community.

This writer, notwithstanding all that poets have sung about the charms of rural life, draws such a strong picture of the social disadvantages of isolated life in the country as almost makes one sorry for the farmers, whom we have been accustomed to envy. These remarks are so forcible and pertinent that we cannot resist the temptation to give some of them to our readers. Mr. Waring is himself a farmer, and claims to speak from experience. He says:—"It may seem a strange doctrine to be advanced by a somewhat enthusiastic farmer, but it is a doctrine that has been slowly accepted after many years' observation,—a conviction that has taken possession of an unwilling mind,—that the young man who takes his young wife to an isolated farm-house does her and himself and their children to an unwholesome, unsatisfactory and vacant existence,—an existence marked by the absence of those more satisfying and more cultivating influences which the best development of character and intelligence demand. It is a common experience of farmers' wives to pass week after week without exchanging a word or a look with a single person outside of their own family circles. The young couple start bravely, and with a determination to struggle against the habits of isolation which marks their class; but this habit has grown from the necessity of the situation; and the necessities of their own situation bring them sooner or later within its bonds. During the first few years they adhere to their resolution and go regularly to church, to the lecture, and to the social gatherings of their friends; but home duties increase with time, and the eagerness for society grows dull with neglect, and those who have started out with the firmest determination to avoid the rock on which their fathers have split, give up the struggle at last and settle down to a humdrum, uninteresting and unenlivened performance of daily tasks.

"If American agriculture has an unsatisfied need, it is surely the need for more intelligence; and more enterprising interest on the part of its working men and women. From one end of the land to the other, its crying defect—recognized by all—is that its best blood—or, in other words, its best brains and its best energy—is leaving it to seek other fields of labor. The influences which lead these best of the farmers' sons to other occupations are not so much the desire to make more money, or to find a less laborious occupation, as it is the desire to lead a more satisfactory life,—a life where that part of us which has been developed by the better education and better civilization for which in this century we have worked so hard and so well, may find responsive companionship and encouraging intercourse with others."

We cannot here describe the plans by which the farmers' residences are to be grouped together

in central villages, with the farms lying around on all sides; nor the many social and educational advantages to be derived from this village life. It is carried out extensively in Europe, and if found practicable, would certainly greatly conduce to the enjoyment and improvement of life among the farming community. Of course the plan must be much more applicable to new than to old settlements. But the whole subject is worthy of thoughtful regard.

### GOLDWIN SMITH ON CANADA.

We have before now broken a lance in defence of Mr. Goldwin Smith, when we thought our Toronto dailies were too hard upon him. But we have been surprised as well as dissatisfied at some of his recent representations respecting Canadian affairs. We regret to see that our Wesleyan contemporary, the *Methodist Recorder*, has been misled by his recent disparaging and incorrect statements about Canada, in the *Fortnightly Review*. The *Recorder* says, "He thinks the present depression of trade in Canada, and, indeed, the whole tendency of things, points to an absorption of Canada into the United States. Nearly 4,000 farms out of 50,000 trading in the Dominion have failed in the last two years, and Canadian manufactures generally are dying out. But is not this largely owing to mistaken policy on the part of the Canadians? If they had attended more to the cultivation of the soil, and less to making cloth and cottons, copper and iron goods, it may be Canada would have been better off than she is, and have been really nearer to becoming eventually a commercial people. It is almost incredible that, whilst she overstocks the markets with manufactured goods, Canada does not grow enough wheat for her own small population, but is obliged to import from other countries."

Now it would be hard to find more misrepresentation in the same compass than we have here. Our English contemporary says: "It is almost incredible that Canada does not grow enough wheat for her own population"; but we can assure our English friends that it is not merely almost, but altogether incredible, and utterly untrue. Large quantities of wheat and flour are annually exported. The *Recorder* justly doubts Mr. Smith's prophecy respecting Canada's union with the United States. There is no feeling nor movement in favor of annexation to the States manifested in any section of society in Canada. There are less signs of such a tendency now than at any former period in our history. How could Canadians be led to expect that they would find relief for the depression of trade in annexation, when the depression in the United States is far greater than in Canada? When Mr. Smith first came to Canada, he spoke in extravagant terms of our country and its institutions. We had some of the best features of Britain and the United States, without the disadvantages of either country. Now, because Mr. Smith has found the Canadian people not at all ready to take him as their "counsellor and guide," he seems to take his revenge by making the British people believe that our loyal and prosperous country is in a piteous condition. We assure our English friends that the dark tale is not true. We are both loyal and prosperous.

**RICHMOND STREET CHURCH.**—The Rev. Dr. Jeffers, President of the Toronto Conference, preached two exceedingly able and appropriate discourses in the Richmond Street Methodist Church of this city last Sabbath, in behalf of the Educational Society. The claims of the society were presented with unusual force, and the large congregations in attendance evinced deep interest in the arguments constructed. On Monday evening the Dr. gave, in the same church, an exceedingly comprehensive and eloquent lecture in the interests of the same society, on "Our New Dominion." The chair was occupied by Rev. Dr. Ryerson, President of the General Conference, who gave a most appropriate and interesting address bearing on the important subject under consideration. The services of the Sabbath, and the meeting last evening, cannot fail to impress the congregations attending with the importance of our educational institutions to this great Dominion.

Sunday-school anniversary sermons will be preached in the Metropolitan Church next Sabbath, in the morning by Rev. A. Sutherland, and in the evening by Rev. Wm. Williams, of Hamilton. In the afternoon an open Sunday-school meeting will be held in the church, at which addresses will be delivered by several prominent Sunday-school workers. On Saturday evening next, in the lecture room, Rev. Wm. Williams will deliver his popular lecture, entitled, "Elbow Room." Admission free. Collection in aid of the funds of the Sunday-school.

The preliminaries to the outbreak of war in the East have apparently reached their last stage. Gortchakoff's circular to the Powers will be followed by the Russian ultimatum and the formal declaration of war. A Vienna correspondent, however, denies the correctness of this statement, and asserts that considerable delay is likely to take place in Russia's movements. Mr. Layard, the British Ambassador at Constantinople, in an interview with Elhem Pasha, has secured the terms of the Porte's reply to the protocol, and warned the Porte that England will not support it. Both Serbia and Rumania are concentrating troops, the former in spite of the peace existing between it and the Porte. The Rumanians are reported to have taken up positions at Oltenitz, Gurguro, and Kibarsab, to allow the Russians to concentrate between Braila and Iasi. They are also forming a reserve corps of 20,000 men at Krajiva. A portion of the Turkish fleet is at Huzova, near Galatz, where it is expected that the Russians will attempt to cross the Danube. Considerable uneasiness is felt at St. Petersburg as to the course England is likely to take.

Just as we go to press we learn from the cable despatches, that the Russian *Gazette* contains a declaration of war, and that Russian troops have crossed the Pruth at several points.

The examination of candidates and probationers on the Stanstead District, will be held in the Methodist church at Waterloo, P.Q., on Wednesday, May 9th, at 9 a.m.

Last Friday evening a most interesting service was held in the Bridge Street Church, Belleville, closing the special services. Over 600 are reported as professing conversion. It is a great work.

### NOTES AND GLEANINGS.

#### Turkish Atrocities.

The London *Methodist* says:—"The Rev. Malcolm MacColl has published a volume of considerable importance on the subject of the Turkish atrocities. It is replete with proofs of Turkish oppression, and bears a powerful witness to the truth of the accounts first published in the *Daily News*. The writer thinks that war is inevitable, and that the speedy collapse of the Turkish Empire will be the necessary consequence. Mr. MacColl is very severe, but perhaps not too much so, upon the present Government. He discovers that there have been differences of counsel in the Cabinet, and he is inclined to lay upon the heads of Lords Derby and Beaconsfield the greater part of the responsibility for the present situation. The work abounds with descriptions of the terrible evils to which the Christians are daily exposed, and gives numerous instances of acts of inhuman cruelty very similar to those with which we have been made familiar by Miss Irvy and by Mr. Gladstone. Mr. MacColl brings forward evidence to prove the correctness of the reports given by Canon Liddon—reports which, it will be remembered, had been received with considerable scepticism.

#### Liberty of Creed in Russia.

"A candid and intelligent Russian" writes a long article, which is published in *The Churchman*, to deny that Dissenters are rigorously treated in Russia. He says:—"The Russian State gives protection to all creeds and material aid to many of them; but not to the Orthodox Church, which is sustained exclusively by donations, etc. The Lutheran and Roman Catholic clergy are materially better off in Russia than the Russian clergy. The Russian Government, representing the Orthodox Russian people, as masters of the country, has always been more liberal and courteous to the creeds and religious institutions of various tribes who are guests in our empire than to our own Orthodox Church. The provisions of our penal code against those who *investigate* others to change their religion have remained unchanged since 1845; but I can assure you that they are quite a dead letter. The person who changes his religion always declares that he does it of his own free will, and not at the instigation of another."

#### Presbyterianism in Egypt.

Dr. Schaaf writes from Cairo to *The Evangelist* that:—"Presbyterianism is the only form of Protestantism which has taken root among the natives of Egypt. It is the Evangelical pioneer church. But there are a few English and German churches in Alexandria and Cairo, for the foreign population in these large cities. There is also an admirable educational work of a missionary character, though without church organization, going on under the care of Miss M. L. Wately, the daughter of the celebrated Archbishop of Dublin. She has been devoting the last fifteen years to this noble and self-denying task. Its school is near the railroad station, and numbers at present 150 girls and 250 boys, divided into half a dozen classes. They are taught elementary studies in Arabic. Some learn also English, French, and Italian. The Scriptures are read and explained. And it seems Mohammedan parents do not object to it." Miss Whately told me, however, that none of her pupils would dare to profess Christianity and submit to baptism, which would at once provoke persecution."

#### Mr. Stanley's Second Despatch.

The interest of Mr. Stanley's second letter, which was published in the *Daily Telegraph* of Thursday week, turns on the much-versed question of the sources of the Nile. The lakes Victoria and Albert Nyanzas have been regarded since their discovery as its birthplaces. But Mr. Stanley has traced to its watershed a new river, two hundred and ninety miles in length, the Stimeyru, which falls into the Victoria, and may be looked upon as a feeder of the Nile. The intrepid explorer, however, has made a greater discovery by following the course of the Kagera, or Kitangula, which he has christened the Alexandra Nile. This river, which was crossed by Speke and Grant, who apparently did not attach much importance to it, falls also into the Victoria, and has been traced by Mr. Stanley for three hundred and ten miles; its length, however, is probably double that distance. The particulars of the exploration of the Alexandra Nile are exceedingly interesting, and add considerably to our knowledge of the water system of Africa.

#### Sacerdotalism in the Mission Field.

According to *The Indian Evangelical Review*, the war between the Church Missionary Society's agents in Ceylon and Ritualistic Bishop Coplestone is not yet at an end. The latter has snubbed the Rev. P. Peter, of the Tamil Cooiy Mission, for administering communion in an unconsecrated and unlicensed place—a practice, he says, which is illegal and cannot be allowed. Mr. Peter's action is in accordance with the custom of the mission, and, inasmuch as the majority of the places occupied by the mission are "unconsecrated and unlicensed" places the Bishop's policy, if followed out, will seriously interfere with the work of the Society. The Bishop of Bombay, it appears, is taking a similar course in his diocese. Bishop Coplestone has secured a number of Tamil teachers and catechists from Tanjore, and will probably set up a rival Tamil Cooiy Mission, in which proceeding he deserves only ignominious failure. The fear is that he will be able to carry his points, and either force the Church Missionary Society to give up its work in Ceylon or its missionaries to withdraw from the Established Church and from a Free Episcopal Church.

#### The Late Lady Augusta Stanley.

It will be remembered that Her Majesty the Queen, as a personal friend of the lamented wife of Dean Stanley, attended her funeral, a year ago, in Westminster Abbey. Accompanied by two of her daughters, Princess Louise, Marchioness of Lorne, and Princess Beatrice, the Queen sat apart in the little gallery erected from the Jerusalem Chamber, having just before met the bereaved husband, and offered him the assurance of her sympathy with his grief, beside the coffin laid ready to be carried out of his home. Her Majesty has caused a monu-



The Rev. J. H. Johnson, M.A., has raised \$600 in new subscriptions for the Endowment Fund of Victoria College up to the 19th inst. He had been engaged in Wingham and Listowel, and went for a those places to Fergus, where he expected to spend a few days. The results so far had been quite satisfactory, especially considering the brief period occupied in the canvass and the great commercial depression prevailing.

—*Blackwood's Edinburgh Magazine* for April has been received from the Leonard Scott Publishing Co., New York. The following are the contents:—The French Army in 1877—A Woman Hater—Part XL—Crete—Pauline—Part III—In the Hebrides—Harriet Martineau—A Railway Journey—Translations from Heine, by Theodore Martin—The Political Situation. The review and criticism of the Autobiography of Harriet Martineau is very unfavorable. The writer thinks she has been much overrated, and is difficult to understand on what her great reputation was founded; and adds, "it will not be increased by her Autobiography, where the good sense which is her strongest point shows less than ever before." The periodicals reprinted by the Leonard Scott Publishing Company are as

Just before leaving England, I went one Sabbath morning to Mr. Surgeon's immense Tabernacle, which was crowded in the very aisles. The singing was hearty and general, and the sermon was most impressive and affecting: "The High Christian Life"; "Rationalism." After the customary prayer, I rose and said, "The Lord's Supper would be administered in the lecture hall, and invited those members of other denominations present who desired to commune with them at the Lord's Supper. I accepted this invitation also, and found it, indeed, good to be there. After the service, I said to Mr. Surgeon, 'I have been with you, Mr. Surgeon, in the course of which he observed, "I came last Tuesday evening in company with several ministers, including Dr. Fauson," adding, "He is a grand man."

I could not but think and feel how much more apostolic was the spirit of such men as Mr. C. H. Johnson, as well as that of the late Robert Hall, than that of those High Church Bishops who will recognise none as brethren at the Lord's Table, unless they had been plunged under water, any more than those High Church Episcopalians who will acknowledge none as ministers of Christ whose heads have not been covered by the power of Episcopacy.

KOMPAKKA TANKU. E. E. RYSDEN.

**COLBORNE CIRCUIT.—**Bro. J. H. L. writes:—We have closed our revival services at Wicklow Church. Over one hundred professed converts have been added to the church. Many have united with us in church fellowship, and we expect others will also unite with us. The trustees of Wicklow Church have decided to build a vestry and make other improvements in the church. I wish to express my gratitude to Professor Burwash for sending, during the revival, students to take the Sunday evening service. A number of persons remained for the Monday evening also, rendering very efficient help. Our educational services were unusually interesting and successful. Dr. Jeffers preached two eloquent and powerful sermons on behalf of the society, on the 18th of March, to very large congregations. The following evening he preached a sermon of a similar character, and two very appropriate and effective addresses from Dr. Jeffers and Prof. Burwash on the educational work of our Church. The financial results were in advance of last year. The Colborne Circuit is now in a very prosperous state. Our membership has increased nearly two hundred

We recently mentioned the surprising utterances of Rev. D. Macrae against the Calvinism of the Confession of Faith. In response to a largely-signed requisition, he lectured at Gtouceock on a recent Monday night on the Confession of Faith, which he denounced as antiquated and unjustifiable, as distorting the teachings of Scripture, and as preventing numbers from entering the Church. . . . Mr. Macrae said they stood on the verge of a conflict, which, with the aid of the Christian lady, should become another Reformation. The Confession, he said, was the Bible with the heart cut out of it. It was Christ dishonored. . . . It was God robbed of His highest glory, and in some instances the Confession made God's ways seem revolting. This "creed rebellion," as it is called, is spreading throughout Scotland, and is beginning to find its way into the English Church, where it has taken a deeper root than some people may imagine. The only wonder is that the matter has been little more than smouldering on this side of the Tweed, though a few leading men

Bro. Borland desires to acknowledge, with thanks, the generous donation of \$16 from the Loyal Orange Lodge of Huntingdon, sent him through the Rev. S. G. Paillips. The one-half to be appropriated to the French mission and the other half to that of Orizaba.











